Cathy Hill, Chair Christine Vuletich, Vice Chair Cindy Vance Lori Cooke Tammi Davis Trenton Ross, Legal Counsel Brandon Price, Legal Counsel

AGENDA

WASHOE COUNTY, NEVADA OPEB TRUST FUND BOARD OF TRUSTEES

October 24, 2024 at 10:00 a.m.

Join Zoom Meeting:

https://washoecounty-gov.zoom.us/i/97544449526

Meeting ID: 975 4444 9526

The meeting may also be attended by calling 719-359-4580 and entering the Phone Conference ID 975 4444 9526.

NOTE: Items on the agenda may be taken out of order, combined with other items, removed from the agenda, or moved to the agenda of another later meeting. Items with a specific time designation will not be heard prior to the stated time, but may be heard later.

<u>Public Comment.</u> Public comments are welcomed during the Public Comment periods for all matters, whether listed on the agenda or not, and are limited to two minutes per person. Additionally, public comment of two minutes per person will be heard during individually numbered items designated as "for possible action" on the agenda. Persons are invited to submit comments in writing on the agenda items and/or attend and make comment on that item at the Trustees' meeting. Persons may not allocate unused time to other speakers.

Members of the public may submit comment by either attending the meeting in person, attending the meeting via teleconference, or attending by telephone only. To provide public comment via Teams, log into the Teams Meeting at the above link and utilize the "Raise Hand" feature during any public comment period. To provide public comment via telephone only, press *5. Press *6 to mute/unmute.

<u>Forum Restrictions and Orderly Conduct of Business.</u> The Washoe County OPEB Trust Board of Trustees conducts the business of the OPEB Trust Fund during its meetings. The presiding officer may order the removal of any person whose statement or other conduct disrupts the orderly, efficient or safe conduct of the meeting. Warnings against disruptive comments or behavior may or may not be given prior to removal. The viewpoint of a speaker will not be restricted, but reasonable restrictions may be imposed upon the time, place and manner of speech. Irrelevant and unduly repetitious statements and personal attacks which antagonize or incite others are examples of speech that may be reasonably limited.

Responses to Public Comments. The Board of Trustees can deliberate or take action only if a matter has been listed on an agenda properly posted prior to the meeting. During the public comment period, speakers may address matters listed or not listed on the published agenda. The Open Meeting Law does not expressly prohibit responses to public comments by the Board. However, responses from Trustees to unlisted public comment topics could become deliberation on a matter without notice to the public. On the advice of legal counsel and to ensure the public has notice of all matters the Board of Trustees will consider, Trustees may choose not to respond to public comments, except to correct factual inaccuracies, ask for staff action or to ask that a matter be listed on a future agenda. The Board may do this either during the public comment item or during the following item: "Trustees'/Staff

announcements, requests for information, topics for future agendas and statements relating to items not on the agenda".

<u>Posting of Agenda.</u> Pursuant to NRS 241.020 (4)(b), the Agenda for the Washoe County OPEB Trust Board of Trustees Meeting has been posted at the following locations: Washoe County Administration Building (1001 E. 9th Street, Bldg. A; the Washoe County website (www.washoecounty.gov/comptroller/board_committees/OPEB); and the Nevada Public Notice Website (https://notice.nv.gov).

How to Get Copies of the Agenda and Supporting Materials. Copies of this Agenda and supporting materials for the items on the agenda provided to the Washoe County OPEB Trust Board of Trustees are available to members of the public by contacting Victoria Stebbins at the Comptroller's Office (1001 E. 9th Street, Bldg. D, 2nd Floor, Room 200, Reno, Nevada), phone 775-328-2553, or email at www.washoecounty.gov and are also posted on the County's website at: www.washoecounty.gov/comptroller/board committees/OPEB.

<u>Special Accommodations.</u> Persons with disabilities who require special assistance (e.g. sign language, interpreters or assisted listening devices to participate in the meeting should please contact Victoria Stebbins at the Comptroller's Office by emailing <u>vstebbins@washoecounty.gov</u> or by leaving a message at 775-328-2553 in advance at least 48 hours before the meeting so that arrangements can be made.

<u>Possible Changes to the Agenda and Timing.</u> Items on the agenda may be taken out of order, combined with other items; removed from the agenda; moved to the agenda of another meeting; or may be voted on in a block. NRS 241.020(2)(D)(6) AND (7).

- 1. Roll call.
- 2. Public Comments. Comments heard under this item will be limited to two minutes per person and may pertain to matters both on and off the Board of Trustees' agenda. The Board will also hear public comment during individual action items, with comment limited to two minutes per person. Comments are to be made to the Board as a whole.
- 3. Approval of minutes from the July 25, 2024 meeting. [FOR POSSIBLE ACTION]
- 4. Review and possible approval of year-to-date administrative expenditures and requested reimbursements to employers through September 30, 2024 in the amount of \$5,884,893. [FOR POSSIBLE ACTION]
- 5. Acknowledge receipt of interim financial statements for the period ending September 30, 2024. [FOR POSSIBLE ACTION]
- 6. Review and possible approval of proposed OPEB Trust Fund Budget updates for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2025, reflecting estimated increased revenues of approximately \$400,000 and estimated increased expenditures of approximately \$15,000. [FOR POSSIBLE ACION]
- 7. Review and discussion of Cash Flow Projections and Planned Transfers to/from the Nevada Retirement Benefits Investment Fund for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2025. [DISCUSSION ONLY]
- 8. Informational review and discussion of the Nevada Retirement Benefits Investment Fund investment process, returns, assets, changes in investment strategy, outlook, and related topics. [DISCUSSION ONLY]
- 9. Acknowledge receipt of updated unaudited financial statements for the year ending June 30, 2024. [FOR POSSIBLE ACTION]

- 10. Update on the status of the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2024 Financial Statements Audit. [DISCUSSION ONLY]
- 11. Trustees'/Staff announcements, requests for information, and topics for future agendas. Meeting dates for calendar year 2025 are January 23, April 24, July 24, and October 23 (fourth Thursday of first month of each calendar quarter). The meetings will begin at 10:00 am. [NO DISCUSSION]
- 12. Public Comments. Comments heard under this item will be limited to two minutes per person and may pertain to matters both on and off the Board of Trustees' agenda. Comments are to be made to the Board as a whole.
- 13. Adjourn.

Cathy Hill, Chair
Christine Vuletich, Vice-chair
Cindy Vance
Lori Cooke
Tammi Davis

Trenton Ross, Legal Counsel Brandon Price, Legal Counsel

DRAFT of Minutes

WASHOE COUNTY, NEVADA OPEB TRUST FUND BOARD OF TRUSTEES July 25, 2024 at 10:00 am held via Microsoft Teams

ROLL CALL [Non-action item]

Chair Hill called the meeting to order at 10:00am. A quorum was established.

PRESENT: Cathy Hill, Lori Cooke, Christine Vuletich, and Tammi Davis.

ABSENT: Cindy Vance.

OTHERS PRESENT: Trenton Ross, Legal Counsel; Russell Morgan, Accounting

Manager; Rebecca Mosher, Senior Accountant; Jason Lesher.

2. PUBLIC COMMENT – [Non-action item]

There was no public comment.

3. Approval of minutes from the April 25, 2024 meeting. [FOR POSSIBLE ACTION]

It was moved by Tammi Davis, seconded by Lori Cooke, to approve the April 25, 2024 meeting minutes as presented.

There was no public comment.

The motion passed unanimously.

4. Review and possible approval of year-to-date administrative expenditures and requested reimbursements to employers through June 30, 2024 in the amount of \$7,353,910. [FOR POSSIBLE ACTION]

Russell Morgan, Accounting Manager for Washoe County, provided a detailed review of the administrative expenses for the fiscal year. He referred to page 10 of the packet, where the budget for the year, actuals, and variances were outlined.

Russell noted that all items were within the original budgeted amounts, with the exception of "Other Operating Expenses," which pertained to the Retiree Drug Subsidy (RDS) program. He explained that the RDS program costs consist of two main components. The first is the quarterly fees, which were \$2,500 each and remained on budget. The second component is the attestation fee, which had been budgeted at \$7,600 based on previous years' expenses, but the bill for the current year came in at \$10,000. Russell speculated that this difference might have been due to timing issues related to the completion of the Statement of Work after the budget was finalized.

Russell also mentioned that the actuarial valuations conducted were roll-forward valuations. He further explained the costs associated with the accounting and administrative services provided by him and Rebecca Mosher. Rebecca was responsible for the bulk of transaction recording and reporting, while Russell handled the review and presentation.

Russell concluded his report by inviting any questions regarding the administrative expenses.

Christine Vuletich inquired what the negative number listed represented.

Russell explained that that was part of variance, saying that the Trust went over budget for "Other Operating Expenses".

Christine thanked Russell for the explanation and said she was looking at the wrong section.

Russell continued his report by discussing the requested reimbursements to Washoe County. The first reimbursement request was for the Washoe County Retirees Health Benefit Plan, totaling \$7,286,929. Russell noted a significant increase from the third quarter's reimbursement of \$4.375 million.

He explained that during the third quarter, the Washoe County Plan expenses were approximately \$635,000 lower than expected due to contract issues with the provider of the Surest Plan, which serves as an HMO substitute. No payments had been made to the provider during the third quarter because of the lack of a contract. This issue was resolved in late May, leading to a payment of \$680,000 to the Surest Plan provider for services rendered from January through March. This payment contributed to the increased reimbursement request for the fourth quarter.

Russell further elaborated on the incurred but not reported (IBNR) liability, which is adjusted at the end of each fiscal year. The IBNR liability represents the estimated claims that were incurred during the fiscal year but have not yet been processed. He emphasized that this liability adjustment ensures that costs are recorded in the period

they were incurred, adhering to good accounting practices. The portion of the IBNR liability related to the Retiree Health Benefit Plan increased by \$1.65 million from June 30, 2023, to June 30, 2024. Russell explained that this increase could be attributed to various factors, including an increase in covered participants, higher utilization of services, rising costs due to technology or general medical inflation, and claims lag, which is the delay between when a participant incurs a cost and when it is paid.

The combination of the Surest Plan premiums and the IBNR liability, contributed approximately \$2.3 million to the increase in reimbursement from the third to the fourth quarter. The remaining \$600,000 increase was due to normal claims fluctuations.

Russell moved on to present the reimbursement requests to Washoe County for the Public Employees' Benefit Program (PEBP) reimbursement. The requested amount for PEBP was \$56,344, consistent with the previous two quarters. Russell described this as "pretty flat" and "as expected," indicating no significant changes or issues. He confirmed that there was nothing unusual to report regarding this reimbursement.

Following the discussion of the PEBP reimbursement, Russell moved on to the reimbursement request for the Truckee Meadows Fire Protection District. The fourth-quarter reimbursement request was \$29,637, which Russell noted was slightly lower than previous reimbursements. He attributed this to a timing issue where, in the first quarter, the District paid four months' worth of premiums (July, August, September, and October) to the insurance carrier, while only two months' worth of premiums were paid in the fourth quarter.

Russell also highlighted a delay in billing from the City of Reno, which had not yet submitted bills for the third and fourth quarters' premiums for retired firefighters covered under the City of Reno's plan. As a result, approximately \$39,000 was accrued in anticipation of these bills. Russell emphasized that, despite these timing issues, the average reimbursement amount across the four quarters remained around \$45,000.

Cindy Vance offered clarification to new members of the Trust that timing issues with the City of Reno are not a new problem.

Trust members shared their acknowledgements of having dealt with this issue with the City in the past.

It was moved by Christine Vuletich, seconded by Tammi Davis, to approve the year-to-date administrative expenditures and requested reimbursements to employers through June 30. 2024 in the amount of \$7.353.910.

There was no public comment.

The motion passed unanimously.

5. Acknowledge receipt of interim financial statements for the period ending June 30, 2024. [FOR POSSIBLE ACTION]

Russell Morgan continued the meeting with a presentation on pages 13 through 18 of the packet, beginning with the financial highlights. He started by discussing the assets by location, including the Washoe County Investment Pool, the State RBIF, and other locations associated with each individual plan. Russell noted that the amounts for the State RBIF would be updated as they finalize their accounting, with the current balances reflecting data as of May. Since RBIF operates with a one-month lag in sending statements, updated financial statements through June will be provided at the October meeting.

Russell then addressed the total net assets, which currently stand at \$390 million, reflecting an increase of \$41 million. He explained that this increase is primarily due to investment income totaling \$45 million. The investment income comprises both interest and dividends distributed, as well as unrealized gains or losses on the underlying investments. Specifically, Russell pointed out that the report included \$3.8 million in unrealized gains and \$33.2 million in net realized gains, largely resulting from the sale of underlying investments by RBIF. He emphasized that the majority of this investment income came from realized gains. RBIF, which typically adheres to a buy-and-hold strategy with limited trading, conducted rebalancing events that resulted in significant gains—\$9.5 million in July of the previous year and \$23.9 million in March. These rebalancing activities were necessary to maintain RBIF's desired risk profile.

Further, Russell highlighted that unrealized gains through May totaled \$3.8 million net, with notable volatility throughout the period. He noted that March saw unrealized losses of \$15.4 million, while November experienced unrealized gains of \$23.6 million. Over the 11 months of data, six months recorded unrealized losses, while five months saw realized gains, reflecting significant market fluctuations.

Russell then compared the year-to-date actual results to the budget. Pre-funding was at 100% as expected, with a minor variance of \$20,000 due to a late adjustment by the Truckee Meadows Fire Protection District, which contributed an additional \$20,000 after the budget was set. This variance was not adjusted in the budget due to its small amount. Regarding investment income net of expenses, the budget was set conservatively. While only \$1 million was budgeted for realized gains, the actual figure came in at \$33.2 million, significantly exceeding expectations. Russell explained that the budget does not account for unrealized gains or losses due to their unpredictability. He noted that administrative expenses for RBIF were slightly under budget through May, and it is anticipated they will be close to budget when the final figures are in.

Cathy Hill added that she thinks it is wise to budget conservatively for the investment income. She explained that she would never budget \$33 million in anticipated investment income.

Russell continued the discussion by elaborating on the components of dividends and interest. He noted that these figures are relatively estimable, typically calculated using a four-year average. However, Russell pointed out that the investment mix has shifted over the past few years, leading to a slight bias toward interest income. He explained that with interest rates being higher compared to four years ago, interest-bearing

investments are now generating more income. As a result, their judgment may lean more heavily toward interest income than in previous years.

Russell then addressed plan members and other revenue items, noting that retiree premiums slightly underperformed the original budget of \$4.7 million, coming in at approximately \$4.6 million. He also mentioned drug rebates from manufacturers and reinsurance reimbursements for large claims. While \$1.5 million was initially budgeted for these items, the actual figure came in at \$2.2 million. Russell anticipated an additional \$600,000 from Rx rebates, which were earned in the fourth quarter but not yet received. He also highlighted the Medicare Part D reimbursement, or retiree drug subsidy, which exceeded the budgeted amount of \$265,000, reaching about \$420,000.

As a result, Russell projected that the final figure of \$7,242,000 would likely be closer to \$7.8 million once all accounting is completed. On the benefits side, the budget was just under \$32 million, with actual expenses coming in slightly lower, just under \$31 million. Russell expressed satisfaction with this outcome, noting that it represented 97% of the budgeted amount. He acknowledged that predicting claims expenses is challenging, describing it as "a cracked crystal ball," and stated that they do their best based on rate estimates provided by the actuary.

Christine Vuletich added that anytime you are within 3% of your prediction - that is likely as close as you can get.

Russell agreed, saying they have refined the process over the years.

Russell proceeded with the discussion by introducing page 14, which focused on the interim statements of plan net assets. He explained that this section offers more detailed information than what was presented in box 1 of the previous page. Russell noted that the State of Nevada RBIF amounts will be updated once the June activity is posted.

He expressed concern about a puzzling entry in the Washoe County Retiree Health Benefit Plan, specifically a negative interest receivable of \$245. Russell found this anomaly perplexing, as it involved interest receivable on the Washoe County Investment Pool. He speculated that the issue might be related to the mark-to-market adjustment of investments in the pool and asked Rebecca to investigate the matter. He emphasized that if the negative figure persists in the final audited and published financial statements, it cannot be recorded as a negative asset and would instead be buried in the liabilities as a financial reporting adjustment.

Russell then addressed the significant liability labeled "due to employers," which had already been approved for settlement on August 1st. He assured the attendees that this liability would be paid as scheduled.

Moving on to page 15, Russell described it as the interim statements of changes in plan net assets for the Trust as a whole. He mentioned that most of the relevant components had already been discussed. He then briefly covered pages 16, 17, and 18, which detailed the results for each of the individual OPEB plans. Russell indicated that there would be some adjustments for fiscal year 2024 transactions that had not yet been recorded, with the most notable being the RBIF transactions for June. He also mentioned that additional contributions, such as drug rebate money, would be factored in later.

Russell concluded by stating that updated versions of these four pages would be provided at the October meeting, allowing attendees to see the final figures for fiscal year 2024.

It was moved by Tammi Davis, seconded by Lori Cooke, to acknowledge receipt of interim financial statements for the period ending June 30, 2024.

There was no public comment.

The motion passed unanimously.

6. Review and discussion of Cash Flow Projections and Planned Transfers to/from the Nevada Retirement Benefits Investment Fund for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2024. [DISCUSSION ONLY]

Russell Morgan directed attention to pages 19, 20, and 21 of the packet. He began by explaining that these pages illustrate the various activities on a cash basis by month. Russell noted that, in the section with the double underscore, the figures now align with the fiscal year 2024 actuals. He clarified that for the first three quarters, the numbers tied to the budget because it was assumed the budget targets would be met for all items. However, now that the fiscal year has concluded, the figures were adjusted to reflect actual outcomes.

Russell then moved on to discuss data from the July 2024 Trustee meeting row. He highlighted the amount of \$7,372,910 listed under the "Reimburse Employers" column, emphasizing that this reimbursement would be executed on August 1st. To facilitate this, \$3 million would be drawn from RBIF. Russell moved on to mention that the cash balance in the Washoe County Pool was showing a negative \$2.6 million. He reassured the Trustees that this negative balance should not be a cause for concern, as the prefunding contributions scheduled to be received on July 1st and August 1st would be used to cover those expenses, including the reimbursements set for August 1st.

Russell proceeded to discuss page 20, which provided detailed financial information for the Washoe County Plan and the Public Employees' Benefit Plan (PEBP), outlining where the funds were allocated and the final results. Finally, he addressed page 21, which detailed the financial status of the Truckee Meadows Plan, summarizing the key figures and outcomes for that plan.

Cathy Hill added that this was for discussion only.

She then addressed Trenton Ross, legal counsel, saying that Russell sent something for his approval this morning. She asked if they were able to discuss this point in the meeting.

Trenton explained that they are able to discuss this as long as the public are learning about it at the same time. He asked that the provided materials be posted with all other materials posted publicly in reference to this meeting.

7. Informational review and discussion of the Nevada Retirement Benefits Investment Fund – investment process, returns, assets, changes in investment strategy, outlook, and related topics. [DISCUSSION ONLY]

Russell Morgan provided an update on the Retirement Benefits Investment Fund (RBIF), clarifying that what had gone out in the packet was the PERS performance, not RBIF, as they are treated and reported separately by PERS, which manages all of the funds. He presented the RBIF performance through March, displayed on the screen, and began discussing the returns. Russell noted that the actual investments were tracking very closely with the market returns for each of the investment types, including U.S. stocks, international stocks, and U.S. bonds. He pointed out that the performance data available covered the 9 months ended March, with additional historical data for one year, three years, five years, ten years, and since the inception of the fund in 2008, all of which were at or very close to the target.

Russell then highlighted a significant change in RBIF's target allocations. He noted that the target allocation for U.S. stocks had been reduced from 49.5% to 42.5%, international stocks from 19.5% to 17.5%, and the target allocation for cash had increased dramatically from 3% to 12%. The increase in the cash allocation raised concerns, prompting Russell to contact Steve Edmondson, the Chief Investment Officer at PERS, to seek clarification.

Russell shared Edmondson's response, explaining that at its March meeting, the PERS Board approved a new asset allocation that reduced stock exposure and increased the allocation to cash and short-duration treasury securities. This adjustment was also approved for the RBIF portfolio. The reasoning behind this change was the higher interest rate environment, which allowed the RBIF to realistically expect to reach its long-term return target with a reduced risk profile. The mandate for PERS, and by extension RBIF, is to implement an asset allocation designed to reach long-term return targets while incurring as little risk as possible. The goal is to create a portfolio with the same risk and return profile as PERS.

Edmondson further explained that the expectation is that the lower risk profile will smooth out the returns in both up and down markets, resulting in less volatility over time. He also noted that the 12% cash allocation was not expected to be permanent. With cash yields currently above 5%, there is a yield premium over owning longer-dated securities. This environment is not expected to persist beyond the next 12 months, after which the PERS board will likely reduce the cash allocation back to 3% and reallocate the remaining 9% into either investment-grade bonds or the full-curve Treasury index.

In simpler terms, Russell interpreted Edmondson's explanation by stating that the yield curve on interest-bearing investments was currently flat to inverted, meaning that short-term securities were yielding higher returns with little risk. By investing in these short-term securities, the overall risk profile of the RBIF pool was reduced while still achieving better yields and returns. Russell concluded by emphasizing that this change in investment approach, which focuses on reducing risk by increasing cash and money market-type securities, was well-reasoned and made sense.

Christine Vuletich agreed that it makes sense and was prudent to reduce risk and still have market return.

Cathy concluded saying that this item was discussion only.

8. Review and possible approval of proposed OPEB Trust Fund Budget for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2025, reflecting estimated revenues of approximately \$34,728,000 and estimated expenditures of approximately \$36,345,000. [FOR POSSIBLE ACTION]

Russell Morgan began by directing attention to page 23 of the packet, highlighting that this section represents the future financial outlook. The schedule displays the actual figures for 2023, the budgeted amounts for 2024, the projected figures for 2025, and a breakdown for each plan.

Russell explained that the pre-funding contributions for the Washoe County Plan and the Nevada State PEBP Plan are based on actuarially determined contributions. These amounts are slightly lower than the previous year due to higher-than-anticipated investment earnings. He noted that higher earnings on assets reduce the required contributions from employers since OPEB funding relies on both employer contributions and investment earnings.

Cathy Hill interrupted to confirm that the numbers under the ADC column were consistent with the projections for 2025. She sought clarification on the discrepancy between the budget and the 2025 projection, and Russell confirmed that the numbers should match and acknowledged the need for consistent terminology in future reports.

Russell then discussed the Truckee Meadows pre-funding contributions, which have increased significantly from the previous year. He explained that their funding is based largely on available budget and their goal of achieving an 80% funded ratio, making their contributions less tied to the ADC than the Washoe County and PEBP plans.

Russell moved on to plan member contributions, noting a slight decrease from the 2024 budget due to a more refined calculation. The estimate was based on an average number of new participants over the last three and a half years, with 94 new retirees expected to join the Washoe County Plan in fiscal 2025.

He also mentioned the "Other" category, which includes reinsurance reimbursements and prescription drug rebates. Russell explained that these items can be volatile, especially since the County plans must incur the first \$375,000 of a claim before receiving reinsurance reimbursements. This threshold had increased by \$50,000 from the previous year, which reflects the County's decision to prevent rate increases by allowing higher claims costs instead.

Russell noted that approximately \$1.2 million in prescription drug rebates is included in the projections, based on a four-year average from fiscal 2020 through 2023. He also discussed investment earnings, which are based on a three-year average of interest and dividends, with adjustments for recent trends toward higher interest income.

The presentation continued with a discussion on realized gains, which are conservatively budgeted at \$1 million. Although the actual figures have varied significantly in recent years, Russell emphasized the conservative approach taken to ensure a buffer in financial planning.

Cathy Hill interjected to confirm that the investment expenses are the fees from RBIF, and Russell confirmed that it includes fees from RBIF and the Washoe County Investment Pool. Hill remarked that the fees, being 2% or less, represented a good deal, to which Russell agreed.

Russell then discussed benefit estimates based on the fiscal 2025 Washoe County Health Benefits Fund budget, noting that premium and claims rates are expected to increase by 4% to 7% as of January 2025 due to medical and prescription drug inflation. He also mentioned the volatility of claims costs, with expectations of higher costs due to an increase in participants.

For the PEBP Plan, Russell noted that the \$232,000 estimate was based on a recent billing from PEBP, projecting a slight increase from the \$228,000 total for fiscal 2024. The figures for Truckee Meadows were based on their budget and estimated retiree insurance premiums.

Finally, Russell addressed administrative expenses, which are expected to be lower in the coming year due to cost savings from a new actuarial services bid. The full valuations during fiscal 2025 will be less expensive than those previously charged by Milliman.

It was moved by Christine Vuletich, seconded by Cathy Hill, to approve the proposed OPEB Trust Fund Budget for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2025, reflecting estimated revenues of approximately \$34,728,000 and estimated expenditures of approximately \$36,345,000.

There was no public comment.

The motion passed unanimously.

 Review and possible approval of proposed Cash Flow Projections and Planned Transfers to/from the Nevada Retirement Benefits Investment Fund for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2025. [FOR POSSIBLE ACTION]

Russell Morgan directed the Trust's attention to pages 24, 25, and 26 of the meeting packet, beginning with an overview of the Trust as a whole. He explained that page 24 outlines the monthly cash flow schedule derived from the budget, with net direct expenses being tied to the budget for fiscal year 2025 until its conclusion. Russell highlighted the employer reimbursements, particularly the significant reimbursement scheduled for August 1st, amounting to \$7,372,910. He noted that this amount had been approved earlier by the board and would be covered by drawing down funds from RBIF.

Russell then discussed the Washoe County Plan and the State of Nevada PEBP Plan. He noted that the PEBP Plan's main expense was \$175,000, which is typically funded on an as-needed basis, drawing from RBIF. Russell mentioned that the PEBP Plan has seen a decline in costs over time, with annual expenses decreasing from \$310,000 in fiscal 2014 to \$228,000 in fiscal 2024. He indicated that with the current funds available in the Washoe County Cash Pool and RBIF, there is enough to cover approximately 11.5 years of PEBP expenses without additional prefunding contributions, effectively placing the plan on autopilot.

Russell reviewed the Truckee Meadows Plan, noting a recent change in their contribution schedule from quarterly to bi-monthly. He expressed a desire to re-evaluate the timing of investments, suggesting that contributions received in September should be invested immediately, rather than waiting for the November contributions to accumulate. Russell acknowledged the inherent risks of investing promptly, especially if RBIF's value decreases, but emphasized the potential benefits if the fund's value increases.

He concluded by mentioning that the cash flow schedule is updated quarterly, with actuals being reviewed and adjustments made to cash management and transfers to and from RBIF. Russell wrapped up his presentation by inviting questions or further discussion from the board.

It was moved by Cathy Hill, seconded by Tammi Davis, to approve the proposed Cash Flow Projections and Planned Transfers to/from the Nevada Retirement Benefits Investment Fund for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2025.

There was no public comment.

The motion passed unanimously.

10. Discussion of audit for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2024. [DISCUSSION ONLY]

Russell Morgan provided an update on the upcoming audit and actuarial valuations, noting that the audit will begin the first week of December, with fieldwork scheduled for the second week of December. He mentioned that the actuarial valuations are expected in November, and the team will review these reports to ensure they are accurate and satisfactory, especially since they are being provided by a new actuary.

Russell explained that once the actuarial valuations are reviewed and approved, they will be forwarded to the auditors, along with all the necessary notes and disclosures related to the OPEB Trust. He expressed optimism that this year's audit process will proceed more quickly than the previous year, as the auditors are now familiar with the Trust, having already completed one year of review. He noted that the auditors should face less intensive top-level or peer review this time around.

Russell emphasized that the auditors are committed to issuing the audit report no later than January 15th, 2025. He stressed the importance of having the audited financial statements included in the January 2025 meeting packet, as he intends to finalize this matter before his retirement, ensuring there are no outstanding issues after his departure.

Russell concluded by expressing his full commitment to completing the audit process efficiently and on schedule.

Cathy Hill added that not only does the firm have one year in the books, but we have the same auditor which will be additionally beneficial.

Christine Vuletich asked who the new actuary was.

Russell said it is a company called Foster and Foster out of Illinois.

Russell provided an update on the communication with the new actuary, mentioning that Rebecca has been in frequent contact and has provided all requested materials so far. However, he noted that they still need to submit the updated financial information, which is dependent on receiving the final data from RBIF. Russell indicated that their target is to deliver this financial data to the actuary next week, allowing them to proceed with their valuations.

He stressed the importance of timely communication to ensure that the actuary can move forward without delay.

Russell explained that the selection process for the new actuary was thorough, involving an RFP process that yielded eight responses. The team, including County employees connected to the project, carefully evaluated and scored the proposals, with different aspects weighted according to their importance.

He mentioned that they conducted reference checks, speaking with three different clients of the firm—two of whom were listed as references, and one selected from their client list independently. Russell noted that all the clients were very pleased with the work done by the firm, even though they did not all work with the same office in Illinois. From a general standpoint, the feedback was positive, reinforcing the decision to engage this firm for actuarial services.

11. Trustees'/Staff announcements, requests for information, and topics for future agendas. The remaining meeting date for calendar year 2024 is October 24 (fourth Thursday of first month of each calendar quarter). The meetings will begin at 10:00 am. [NO DISCUSSION]

Christine Vuletich asked what Russell's retirement date is.

Russell responded saying he is aiming for February 5, 2025. He thanked Trust members for having him as part of the team for so many years and becoming the OPEB expert.

Christine Vuletich thanked him for his work.

Russell added that he is working with Rebecca to impart the intricacies of the OPEB Trust to her.

Tammi Davis thanked him for his work training Rebecca so intensively because the subject is complicated.

12. PUBLIC COMMENT – [Non-action item]

There was no public comment.

13. Meeting adjourned at 11:13am.

WASHOE COUNTY, NEVADA OPEB TRUST Administrative Expense Detail - YTD Actual vs. Annual Budget For the Year Ended June 30, 2025 - Unaudited

		Washoe Co Retiree Health Benefit Program		State of Nevada Public Employee Benefit Plan		Fruckee Meadow FPD Retiree Group Medical Plan	s	2025 Total
BUDGET	•						•	
Administrative Expenses			_		_		_	
Actuarial valuations	\$	20,000	\$	7,500	\$	7,500	\$	35,000
Accounting and administrative services		7,000		7,000		7,000		21,000
Audit fees		8,050		8,050		8,050		24,150
Trustee fees		267		266		267		800
Minutes fees		200		200		200		600
Other Operating Expenses		-						
	\$	35,517	\$	23,016	\$	23,017	\$	81,550
<u>ACTUAL</u>	:						:	
Administrative Expenses								
Actuarial valuations	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Accounting and								
administrative services		1,068		1,068		1,068		3,204
Audit fees		-		-		-		-
Trustee fees		-		-		-		-
Minutes fees		- 0.500		-		-		- 0.500
Other Operating Expenses		2,500					į	2,500
	\$	3,568	\$	1,068	\$	1,068	\$	5,704
VARIANCE	•							
VARIANCE Administrative Expenses								
Actuarial valuations	\$	20,000	\$	7,500	\$	7,500	\$	35,000
Accounting and	Ψ	20,000	Ψ	7,000	Ψ	7,000	Ψ	00,000
administrative services		5,932		5,932		5,932		17,796
Audit fees		8,050		8,050		8,050		24,150
Trustee fees		267		266		267		800
Minutes fees		200		200		200		600
Other Operating Expenses		(2,500)						(2,500)
	\$	31,949	\$	21,948	\$	21,949	\$	75,846

WASHOE COUNTY, NEVADA OPEB TRUST Summary of Requested Reimbursement to Washoe County For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2024

	YTD	Avg / Mo
<u>WCRHBP</u>		
Plan member premium payments	1,112,721	370,907
Other miscellaneous revenues	528,647	176,216
	1,641,368	547,123
Less:		
Benefits expense	7,854,649	2,618,216
Net OPEB expense	6,213,281	2,071,093
Reimbursements to date: For Q424 Over Reimbursement For Q1 For Q2 For Q3 For Q4	(415,903)	
Balance due to Washoe County	5,797,378	
PEBP		
PEBP premium subsidies	57,981	19,327
Reimbursements to date: For Q1 For Q2 For Q3 For Q4		
Balance due to Washoe County	57,981	
Total due to Washoe County	\$ 5,855,359	

Plan member premium payments: Payments received from retirees for their share of OPEB plan premiums.

<u>Other miscellaneous revenues:</u> Payments received from third parties for reinsurance reimbursements, prescription drug rebates, and Medicare Part D reimbursements.

<u>Benefits expense:</u> Benefits expense includes medical and prescription drug claims and claims administration expense for PPO participants, HMO participant premiums, and dental and vision claims for all electing participants.

Net OPEB expense: Total benefits expense, less plan member premium payments and other miscellaneous revenues. This the County's cost of providing OPEB benefits to participants.

WASHOE COUNTY, NEVADA OPEB TRUST Summary of Requested Reimbursement to Truckee Meadows Fire Protection District For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2024

	YTD	Avg / Mo
TMFPD RGMP		
Plan member premium payments	77,456	25,819
Less:		
Benefits expense	106,990	35,663
Net OPEB expense	29,534	9,844
Reimbursements to date:		
For Q1		
For Q2		
For Q3		
For Q4		
Balance due to employer	29,534	

<u>Plan member premium payments:</u> Payments received from retirees for their share of OPEB plan premiums.

<u>Benefits expense:</u> Benefits expense includes premiums for medical, prescription drugs, dental, vision, and life insurance coverages.

<u>Net OPEB expense:</u> Total benefits expense, less plan member premium payments. This is TMFPD's cost of providing OPEB benefits to participants.

Washoe County, Nevada OPEB Trust Fund

Financial Highlights for the Three Months Ended September 30, 2024 (Unaudited)

Amounts in thousands:											
	WC-RHBP	WC-PEBP		Т	MFPD		TOTAL				
WC-Pool	\$ 1,429	\$	69	\$	42	\$	1,540				
State RBIF	396,236		2,948		15,927		415,110				
Other-Net	(5,797)		(58)		(29)		(5,884)				
Net Assets	\$ 391,868	\$	2,959	\$	15,940	\$	410,766				

• Net assets of \$410.8 million are up \$13.6 million year-to-date; contributions of \$6.6 million and net investment income of \$15.0 million were offset by \$8.0 million in benefits expense.

Amounts in thousands	WC-RHBP	WC-PEBP	TMFPD	TOTAL
Additions:				
Prefunding	\$ 4,051	\$ 8	\$ 830	\$ 4,889
Investment income, net of expense	14,361	107	548	15,016
Plan members, other	1,641		77	1,718
	20,053	115	1,455	21,623
Deductions:				
Benefits Paid	7,855	58	107	8,020
Administrative	4	1	1	6
	7,859	59	108	8,026
Net change in Plan Net Assets	\$ 12,194	\$ 56	\$ 1,347	\$ 13,597

• Investment income includes realized gains of \$11.4 million and net unrealized gains of \$1.8 million in the RBIF through August 31, 2024. Annualized investment returns through August in the RBIF were 33.33% with these gains included. Annualized realized (cash) yields were at 29.23%.

Amounts in thousands	Budget	YTD	Act % Bud	<u>Variance</u>
Additions:				
Prefunding	\$ 18,724	\$ 4,889	26%	\$ (13,835)
Investment income, net of expense	9,426	15,016	159%	5,590
Plan members, other	6,578	1,718	26%	(4,860)
	34,728	21,623	62%	(13,105)
Deductions:	,			
Benefits Paid	36,263	8,020	22%	28,243
Administrative	82	6	7%	76
	36,345	8,026	22%	28,319
Net change in Plan Net Assets	\$ (1,617)	\$ 13,597	-841%	\$ 15,214

- Prefunding contributions reflect transfers primarily from the employers' General Fund.
- Unrealized gains and losses in the RBIF are not budgeted; realized gains and losses are conservatively budgeted.
- Plan member and other contributions reflect retirees' share of health insurance premiums, plus miscellaneous revenues, such as reinsurance proceeds, drug rebates, and Retiree Drug Subsidy payments from Medicare.
- The County has a reinsurance policy in place to limit the County's cost to \$375,000 for each claim for the year.
- Washoe County's adopted policy is to collect the County's full OPEB cost from the Trust.

WASHOE COUNTY, NEVADA OPEB TRUST FUND INTERIM STATEMENTS OF PLAN NET ASSETS AS OF SEPTEMBER 30, 2024 - UNAUDITED

		Washoe Co. Retiree Health Benefit Plan		State of Nevada Public Employee Benefit Plan		TMFPD Retiree Group Medical Plan		Total
Assets			-				_	
Cash and investments:								
Washoe County Investment Pool	\$	1,429,213	\$	68,892 \$	\$	41,505	\$	1,539,610
State of NV RBIF		396,235,941		2,947,925		15,926,613		415,110,479
Interest receivable		2,671	_	110		949	_	3,730
Total Assets		397,667,825		3,016,927		15,969,067		416,653,819
Liabilities	•		-				-	
Accounts payable		2,621		-		-		2,621
Due to employers		5,797,378		57,981		29,534		5,884,893
Total Liabilities		5,799,999		57,981		29,534		5,887,514
Net assets held in trust for other	•		_					
postemployment benefits	\$	391,867,826	\$	<u>2,958,946</u> \$	\$ <u>_</u>	15,939,533	\$_	410,766,305

^ -	!- :	ined	T	
חיו	mn	חסחו	ırı	ıet

	_			combined Trust			
		Budget	Actual	Act %	Variance	6/30/2024	
Additions Contributions	_						
Employer: Prefunding Plan member Other	\$	18,724,372 \$ 4,615,000 1,963,000	4,888,478 1,190,177 528,647	26.11% \$ 25.79% 26.93%	(13,835,894) \$ (3,424,823) (1,434,353)	19,612,329 4,578,587 3,091,667	
Total Contributions		25,302,372	6,607,302	26.11%	(18,695,070)	27,282,583	
Investment Income Interest and dividends Net increase (decrease) in fair value		8,528,100	1,725,865	20.24%	(6,802,235)	9,156,908	
of investments		1,009,100	13,306,950	1318.69%	12,297,850	42,503,264	
		9,537,200	15,032,815	157.62%	5,495,615	51,660,172	
Less investment expense	_	111,675	17,347	15.53%	94,328	111,481	
Net Investment Income		9,425,525	15,015,468	159.31%	5,589,943	51,548,691	
Total Additions		34,727,897	21,622,770	62.26%	(13,105,127)	78,831,274	
Deductions Benefits Administrative expense	_	36,263,000 81,550	8,019,620 5,704	22.12% 6.99%	28,243,380 75,846	30,827,725 92,807	
Total Deductions		36,344,550	8,025,324	22.08%	28,319,226	30,920,532	
Net Change in Plan Net Assets	-	(1,616,653)	13,597,446	(841.09%)	15,214,099	47,910,742	
Net Assets Held in Trust for Other Postemployment Benefits							
Beginning of year		397,168,859	397,168,859		-	349,258,117	
End of Period	\$	395,552,206 \$	410,766,305	\$	15,214,099 \$	397,168,859	

Washoe County - Retiree Health Benefit Plan

	_	washoe County - Retiree Realth Benefit Plan							
		Budget		Actual	Act %	Variance	6/30/2024		
Additions Contributions	_		-						
Employer: Prefunding Plan member Other	\$	16,204,623 \$ 4,400,200 1,963,000		4,051,156 1,112,721 528,647	25.00% \$ 25.29% 26.93%	(12,153,467) \$ (3,287,479) (1,434,353)	18,249,364 4,374,830 3,091,667		
Total Contributions		22,567,823		5,692,524	25.22%	(16,875,299)	25,715,861		
Investment Income Interest and dividends Net increase (decrease) in fair value	_	8,159,500		1,649,240	20.21%	(6,510,260)	8,765,096		
of investments		965,000		12,728,093	1318.97%	11,763,093	40,696,495		
		9,124,500		14,377,333	157.57%	5,252,833	49,461,591		
Less investment expense	_	106,770		16,549	15.50%	90,221	106,723		
Net Investment Income		9,017,730		14,360,784	159.25%	5,343,054	49,354,868		
Total Additions		31,585,553		20,053,308	63.49%	(11,532,245)	75,070,729		
Deductions Benefits Administrative expense		35,618,700 35,517		7,854,649 3,568	22.05% 10.05%	27,764,051 31,949	30,219,115 43,936		
Total Deductions		35,654,217		7,858,217	22.04%	27,796,000	30,263,051		
Net Change in Plan Net Assets	-	(4,068,664)	_	12,195,091	(299.73%)	16,263,755	44,807,678		
Net Assets Held in Trust for Other Postemployment Benefits									
Beginning of year		379,672,735		379,672,735		-	334,865,057		
End of Period	\$	375,604,071 \$	<u> </u>	391,867,826	\$	16,263,755 \$	379,672,735		

Washoe County - NV PEBP Plan

		washoe County - NV PEBP Plan					
		Budget	Actual	Act %	Variance	6/30/2024	
Additions Contributions Employer: Prefunding	\$	31,133 \$	7,783	25.00% \$	(23,350) \$	42,565	
Freitinding	Ψ	<u> </u>	7,703	23.00 / σ_	(23,330) 	42,505	
Total Contributions		31,133	7,783	25.00%	(23,350)	42,565	
Investment Income Interest and dividends Net increase (decrease) in fair value		60,500	12,487	20.64%	(48,013)	69,451	
of investments		7,300	94,444	1293.75%	87,144	281,678	
		67,800	106,931	157.72%	39,131	351,129	
Less investment expense		820	131	15.98%	689	851	
Net Investment Income		66,980	106,800	159.45%	39,820	350,278	
Total Additions		98,113	114,583	116.79%	16,470	392,843	
Deductions Benefits Administrative expense		232,000 23,016	57,981 1,068	24.99% 4.64%	174,019 21,948	227,589 23,936	
Total Deductions		255,016	59,049	23.16%	195,967	251,525	
Net Change in Plan Net Assets Net Assets Held in Trust for Other Postemployment Benefits		(156,903)	55,534	-	212,437	141,318	
Beginning of year		2,903,412	2,903,412		-	2,762,094	
End of Period	\$	2,746,509 \$	2,958,946	\$	212,437 \$	2,903,412	

Truckee Meadows FPD - Retiree Group Medical Plan

	_	IIu	CNC	e ivicaciows i	FD - Netiliee Gi	oup Medical Fia	<u></u>
		Budget		Actual	Act %	Variance	6/30/2024
Additions Contributions Employer:							
Prefunding	\$	2,488,616	B	829,539	33.33% \$	(1,659,077) \$	1,320,400
Plan member	*	214,800	•	77,456	36.06%	(137,344)	203,757
Total Contributions		2,703,416		906,995	33.55%	(1,796,421)	1,524,157
Investment Income Interest and dividends Net increase (decrease) in fair value		308,100		64,138	20.82%	(243,962)	322,361
of investments		36,800		484,413	1316.34%	447,613	1,525,091
		344,900		548,551	159.05%	203,651	1,847,452
Less investment expense		4,085		667	16.33%	3,418	3,907
Net Investment Income		340,815		547,884	160.76%	207,069	1,843,545
Total Additions		3,044,231		1,454,879	47.79%	(1,589,352)	3,367,702
Deductions Benefits Administrative expense		412,300 23,017		106,990 1,068	25.95% 4.64%	305,310 21,949	381,021 24,935
Total Deductions		435,317		108,058	24.82%	327,259	405,956
Net Change in Plan Net Assets Net Assets Held in Trust for Other Postemployment Benefits		2,608,914		1,346,821	51.62%	(1,262,093)	2,961,746
Beginning of year		14,592,712		14,592,712		-	11,630,966
End of Period	\$	17,201,626	<u> </u>	15,939,533	\$_	(1,262,093)	14,592,712
			_				·

WASHOE COUNTY, NEVADA OPEB TRUST FUND RECOMMENDED BUDGET AS AUGMENTED 10/24/2024 FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2025

					2025 Budget - By Fund			
		(Unaudited)		-	Washoe County	State of Nevada	TMFPD	
	2023	2024	2025		Retirees Health	Public Employees	Retiree Group	
	Actual	Budget	Budget		Benefit Plan	Benefit Plan	Medical Plan	
Additions Contributions Employer: Prefunding	8,134,111 \$	19,591,929 \$	18,724,372	\$	16,204,623 \$	31,133 \$	2,488,616	
Plan member	4,211,978	4,700,000	4,615,000	Х	4,400,200	-	214,800	
Other	4,688,485	1,747,000	2,363,000	Х	2,363,000	<u> </u>		
Total Contributions	17,034,574	26,038,929	25,702,372		22,967,823	31,133	2,703,416	
Investment Income: Investment earnings Less investment expense	41,010,207 (100,713)	8,046,023 (107,251)	9,537,200 (111,675)		9,124,500 (106,770)	67,800 (820)	344,900 (4,085)	
Net Investment Income	40,909,494	7,938,772	9,425,525		9,017,730	66,980	340,815	
Total Additions	57,944,068	33,977,701	35,127,897	-	31,985,553	98,113	3,044,231	
Deductions Benefits Administrative expense	29,227,978 187,842	31,862,600 92,000	36,263,000 96,550	x	35,618,700 50,517	232,000 23,016	412,300 23,017	
Total Deductions	29,415,820	31,954,600	36,359,550		35,669,217	255,016	435,317	
Net Change in Plan Net Assets	28,528,248	2,023,101	(1,231,653)	-	(3,683,664)	(156,903)	2,608,914	
Net Assets Held in Trust for Other Postemployment Benefits								
Beginning of year	320,729,869	349,258,117	351,281,218		335,776,712	2,592,107	12,912,399	
End of year	349,258,117 \$	351,281,218 \$	350,049,565	\$	332,093,048	\$ 2,435,204 \$	15,521,313	
Net Reimbursements	20,327,515	25,415,600	29,285,000	x	28,855,500	232,000	197,500	

Washoe County, Nevada OPEB Trust Fund Cash Flow Projections and Planned Transfers to (from) the Retirees' Benefits Investment Fund (RBIF) for FY 24-25 As updated through 9/30/2024

		Prefunding Contributions	Net Direct Expenses	Reimburse Employers	Pooled Cash Change	Trsfrs to/ (from) RBIF		Cash in WC Pool	Cash in RBIF	Total Cash & Investmts
Beginnii	ng balance							1,807,347	402,320,295	404,127,642
Jul-24	Trustee Meeting	1,767,749	-	-	1,767,749	-	-	3,575,096	402,320,295	405,895,391
Aug		1,352,979	-	(7,372,910)	(6,019,931)	(3,000,000)	-	555,165	399,320,295	399,875,460
Sep		1,767,749	(5,704)	-	1,762,045	825,000	-	1,539,610	415,110,479	416,650,089
Oct	Trustee Meeting	1,352,979	(2,645)	-	1,350,334	-	-	2,889,944	415,110,479	418,000,423
Nov		1,767,748	(40,100)	(5,884,893)	(4,157,245)	(1,350,000)	-	82,699	413,760,479	413,843,178
Dec		1,352,979	(7,750)	-	1,345,229	-	-	1,427,928	413,760,479	415,188,407
Jan	Trustee Meeting	1,767,748	(200)	-	1,767,548	350,000	-	2,845,476	414,110,479	416,955,955
Feb		1,352,979	(24,300)	(7,321,250)	(5,992,571)	(3,425,000)	-	277,905	410,685,479	410,963,384
Mar		1,767,748	(7,750)	-	1,759,998	400,000	-	1,637,903	411,085,479	412,723,382
Apr	Trustee Meeting	1,352,979	(201)	-	1,352,778	-	-	2,990,682	411,085,479	414,076,161
May		1,767,748	(150)	(7,321,250)	(5,553,652)	(2,725,000)	-	162,030	408,360,479	408,522,509
Jun		1,352,987	(7,750)	-	1,345,237	-	-	1,507,267	408,360,479	409,867,746
Jul-25	Trustee Meeting	-	-	(8,757,607)	(8,757,607)	(4,650,000)	-	(2,600,340)	403,710,479	401,110,139
	Cash flow total	18,724,372	(96,550)	(36,657,910)	(18,030,088)	(13,575,000)				
Less: Pr	mts related to FY24		-	7,372,910						
	FY25 Budget	=	(96,550)	(29,285,000)		Assuming budge	et augmentation	is approved		

Key Assumptions:

Only key changes in cash flow are shown.

TMFPD - Quarterly payments to City of Reno, based on FY24 actuals.

Transfers to/from RBIF will be reviewed quarterly for possible adjustment.

	WCRHBP	PEBP	Total	ADC's per Actuarial valuations.	
WC Contributions	16,204,623	31,133	16,235,756	Paid in monthly increments.	Recommended
TMFPD Contributions			2,488,616	Per TMFPD FY 25 Budget. Paid bi-monthly.	2,031,446
Total Prefunding Contributions			18,724,372		Per Milliman

Washoe County, Nevada OPEB Trust Fund Cash Flow Projections and Planned Transfers to (from) the Retirees' Benefits Investment Fund (RBIF) for FY 24-25 As updated through 9/30/2024

	WCRHBP	Prefunding Contributions	Net Direct Expenses	Reimburse Employers	Pooled Cash Change	Trsfrs to/ (from) RBIF	Cash Realloc	Cash in WC Pool	Cash in RBIF	Total Cash & Invest.
Beginnir	ng balance							\$ 1,631,759	\$ 384,914,259	386,546,018
Jul-24	Trustee Meeting	1,350,385	-		1,350,385	-	-	2,982,144	384,914,259	387,896,403
Aug		1,350,385	-	(7,286,929)	(5,936,544)	(3,000,000)	-	45,600	381,914,259	381,959,859
Sep		1,350,385	(3,568)		1,346,817	-	-	1,429,213	396,235,941	397,665,154
Oct	Trustee Meeting	1,350,385	(865)		1,349,520	-	-	2,778,733	396,235,941	399,014,674
Nov		1,350,385	(25,050)	(5,797,378)	(4,472,043)	(1,750,000)	-	56,690	394,485,941	394,542,631
Dec		1,350,385	(4,250)	-	1,346,135		-	1,402,825	394,485,941	395,888,766
Jan	Trustee Meeting	1,350,385	(67)		1,350,318		-	2,753,143	394,485,941	397,239,084
Feb		1,350,385	(8,100)	(7,213,875)	(5,871,590)	(3,250,000)	-	131,553	391,235,941	391,367,494
Mar		1,350,385	(4,250)	-	1,346,135		-	1,477,688	391,235,941	392,713,629
Apr	Trustee Meeting	1,350,385	(67)		1,350,318		-	2,828,006	391,235,941	394,063,947
May		1,350,385	(50)	(7,213,875)	(5,863,540)	(3,125,000)	-	89,466	388,110,941	388,200,407
Jun		1,350,388	(4,250)	-	1,346,138		-	1,435,604	388,110,941	389,546,545
Jul-25	Trustee Meeting	-	-	(8,630,372)	(8,630,372)	(4,650,000)		(2,544,768)	383,460,941	380,916,173
	Cash flow total	16,204,623	(50,517)	(36,142,429)	(19,988,323)	(15,775,000)	_			
Less: Pr	mts related to FY24		-	7,286,929						
	FY25 Budget	- -	(50,517)	(28,855,500)		Assuming budg	get augmentation	is approved		
	PEBP	Prefunding Contributions	Net Direct Expenses	Reimburse Employers	Pooled Cash Change	Trsfrs to/ (from) RBIF	Cash Realloc	Cash in WC Pool	Cash in RBIF	Total Cash & Invest.
Beginnir	ng balance							116,257	2,843,169	2,959,426
Jul-24	Trustee Meeting	2,594	-	-	2,594	-	-	118,851	2,843,169	2,962,020
Aug		2,594	-	(56,344)	(53,750)	-	-	65,101	2,843,169	2,908,270
Sep		2,594	(1,068)	-	1,526	-	-	68,892	2,947,925	3,016,817
Oct	Trustee Meeting	2,594	(914)		1,680	-	-	70,572	2,947,925	3,018,497
Nov	-	2,594	(7,500)	(57,981)	(62,887)	-	-	7,685	2,947,925	2,955,610
Dec		2,594	(1,750)	-	844	-	-	8,529	2,947,925	2,956,454

В 2,594 2,527 11,056 2,947,925 2,958,981 Trustee Meeting (67)Jan 122,550 Feb 2,594 (63,506)(175,000)2,772,925 2,895,475 (8,100)(58,000)Mar 2,594 (1,750)844 123,394 2,772,925 2,896,319 2,527 2,898,846 Apr **Trustee Meeting** 2,594 (67)125,921 2,772,925 May 2,594 (50)(58,000)(55,456)70,465 2,772,925 2,843,390 2,599 849 2,844,239 Jun (1,750)71,314 2,772,925 Jul-25 Trustee Meeting (58,019)13,295 2,772,925 2,786,220 (58,019)(23,016) Cash flow total 31,133 (288,344) (280, 227)(175,000)56,344 Less: Pmts related to FY24

FY25 Budget (23,016) (232,000)

Washoe County, Nevada OPEB Trust Fund Cash Flow Projections and Planned Transfers to (from) the Retirees' Benefits Investment Fund (RBIF) for FY 24-25 As updated through 9/30/2024

	TMFPD	Prefunding Contributions	Net Direct Expenses	Reimburse Employers	Pooled Cash Change	Trsfrs to/ (from) RBIF	Cash Realloc	Cash in WC Pool	Cash in RBIF	Total Cash & Invest.
Roginni	ng balance	Contributions	Lxperises	Lilipioyers	Change	(IIOIII) IIDII		59,331	14,562,867	14,622,198
_	•	44.4.770			444.770			,	, ,	
Jul-24	Trustee Meeting	414,770	-	-	414,770		-	474,101	14,562,867	15,036,968
Aug		-	-	(29,637)	(29,637)	-	-	444,464	14,562,867	15,007,331
Sep		414,770	(1,068)	-	413,702	825,000	-	41,505	15,926,613	15,968,118
Oct	Trustee Meeting	-	(865)		(865)	-	-	40,640	15,926,613	15,967,253
Nov		414,769	(7,550)	(29,534)	377,685	400,000	-	18,325	16,326,613	16,344,938
Dec		-	(1,750)	-	(1,750)		-	16,575	16,326,613	16,343,188
Jan	Trustee Meeting	414,769	(67)		414,702	350,000	-	81,277	16,676,613	16,757,890
Feb		-	(8,100)	(49,375)	(57,475)		-	23,802	16,676,613	16,700,415
Mar		414,769	(1,750)	-	413,019	400,000	-	36,821	17,076,613	17,113,434
Apr	Trustee Meeting	-	(67)		(67)		-	36,754	17,076,613	17,113,367
May		414,769	(50)	(49,375)	365,344	400,000	-	2,098	17,476,613	17,478,711
Jun		-	(1,750)	-	(1,750)		-	348	17,476,613	17,476,961
Jul-25	Trustee Meeting	-	-	(69,216)	(69,216)	-	-	(68,868)	17,476,613	17,407,745
	Cash flow total	2,488,616	(23,017)	(227,137)	2,238,462	2,375,000				
Less: P	mts related to FY24		-	29,637						
	FY25 Budget	-	(23,017)	(197,500)						

^{*} Rebalancing between Pool and RBIF to ensure sufficient cash flow to meet plan expenses.

Retirement Benefits Investment Fund

June 30, 2024
Performance Gross of Fees

Asset Class	N	larket Value	Target Allocation	Actual Allocation	FYTD Return	One Year	3 Years	5 Years	10 Years	Since Inception (2008)
U.S. Stocks- S&P 500 Index	\$	393,288,987	42.5%	43.4%	24.5%	24.5%	10.0%	15.0%	12.8%	11.0%
Market Return					24.6%	24.6%	10.0%	15.1%	12.9%	10.9%
Int'l Stocks- MSCI World x US Index	\$	155,683,229	17.5%	17.2%	11.4%	11.4%	3.1%	6.9%	4.7%	3.9%
Market Return					11.2%	11.2%	2.8%	6.6%	4.4%	3.7%
U.S. Bonds- U.S. Bond Index	\$	248,954,262	28.0%	27.5%	1.6%	1.6%	-1.1%	1.3%	1.9%	2.8%
Market Return					1.6%	1.6%	-1.4%	1.1%	1.8%	2.6%
Short-term Investments*	\$	108,701,228	12.0%	12.0%	4.9%					4.9%
Market Return					5.0%					5.0%
Total RBIF Fund	\$	906,627,706	100.0%	100.0%	14.7%	14.7%	5.5%	9.8%	8.2%	7.5%
Market Return					14.8%	14.8%	5.4%	9.5%	8.0%	7.4%

^{*} Inception 8/1/2023

WASHOE COUNTY, NEVADA OPEB TRUST FUND STATEMENTS OF FIDUCIARY NET POSITION AS OF JUNE 30, 2024

	Washoe County Retiree Health Benefits Program	State of Nevada Public Employees' Benefits Plan	TMFPD Retiree Group Medical Plan	Total
Assets				
Cash and investments	386,546,019	\$ 2,959,425 \$	14,622,198	404,127,642
Interest receivable	1,702	331	151	2,184
Total Assets	386,547,721	2,959,756	14,622,349	404,129,826
Liabilities				
Accounts payable - benefit				
reimbursements to employers	6,871,026	56,344	29,637	6,957,007
Accounts payable - others	3,960			3,960
Total Liabilities	6,874,986	56,344	29,637	6,960,967
Net Position Restricted for Other Postemployment Benefits	\$ 379,672,735	\$ 2,903,412 \$	14,592,712	397,168,859

WASHOE COUNTY, NEVADA OPEB TRUST FUND STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN FIDUCIARY NET POSITION FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2024

	Washoe County Retiree Health Benefit Plan	State of Nevada Public Employees Benefits Plan	TMFPD ' Retiree Group Medical Plan	Total
Additions				
Contributions:				
Employer prefunding Other	\$ 18,249,364 3,091,667	\$ 42,565 	\$ 1,320,400 	\$ 19,612,329 3,091,667
Total Contributions	21,341,031	42,565	1,320,400	22,703,996
Investment Income: Interest and dividends Net (increase in fair value	8,765,096	69,451	322,361	9,156,908
of investments	40,696,495	281,678	1,525,091	42,503,264
Total Investment Income	49,461,591	351,129	1,847,452	51,660,172
Less investment expenses	106,723	851	3,907	111,481
Net Investment Income	49,354,868	350,278	1,843,545	51,548,691
Total Additions	70,695,899	392,843	3,163,945	74,252,687
Deductions	-	_	-	-
Benefit payments, net	25,844,285	227,589	177,264	26,249,138
Administrative expenses	43,936	23,936	24,935	92,807
Total Deductions	25,888,221	251,525	202,199	26,341,945
Change in Plan Net Position	44,807,678	141,318	2,961,746	47,910,742
Net Position Restricted for Other Postemployment Benefits				
Beginning of year	334,865,057	2,762,094	11,630,966	349,258,117
End of year	\$ 379,672,735	\$ 2,903,412	\$ 14,592,712	\$ 397,168,859